

ECO LOGICAL AUSTRALIA PTY LTD ABN 87 096 512 088 www.ecoaus.com.au

Billbergia Pty Ltd c/o Grahame Edwards URBAN Futures 20 Alfred Street, Rozelle NSW 2039

Ref/Job No: 2539

17 June 2016

Dear Grahame,

Flora and Fauna Constraints Assessment - Caledonia

Eco Logical Australia Pty Ltd (ELA) has prepared a constraints assessment for the Caledonia planning proposal at Ingleburn. Urban Futures working on behalf of Billbergia Pty Ltd engaged ELA to provide the constraints assessment to guide the master planning of the proposed development.

This constraints assessment has been iterative process that has involved identifying the constraints on the site and working with the Urban Designers to develop a planning proposal that minimises impacts to these constraints. This assessment therefore includes;

- 1. Identification of constraints
- 2. Provision of recommendations on how to address constraints
- 3. An assessment of potential impacts to constraints of the proposed masterplan.

Identification of Constraints

ELA confirmed the presence of one endangered ecological community, Cumberland Plain Woodland, which is present in two condition states. Cumberland Plain Woodland (CPW) is listed as a Critically Endangered Ecological Community (CEEC) under both the NSW *Threatened Species Conservation Act 1995* (TSC Act) and the Commonwealth *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* (EPBC Act).

Several patches of CPW were present along Bensley Road. All patches meet the definition as CPW under the TSC Act but only the patch of CPW on the corner of Bensley and Oxford Roads meets the criteria for listing under the EPBC Act. Preparation of a Referral to the Commonwealth may be required if impacts to this area are planned. It is recommended that impacts to this area are avoided.

The majority of the grassland areas throughout the study area were exotic pasture. The grassland areas were dominated by exotic pasture species and may have been ploughed and or fertilised for routine agricultural purposes in the past. This has likely removed the soil stored seed bank and therefore the ability of the land to recover unassisted.

A series of biometric quadrats was undertaken to identify the condition classes of the vegetation. This enabled the vegetation to be classified into one of three constraints categories:

- High
- Medium
- Low.

The study area was traversed in search of threatened flora. None were recorded and it is unlikely that any would be present given the historical site disturbance and level of weed invasion over the majority of the site. However

the relatively intact patch on the corner of Bensley and Oxford Roads may contain habitat for threatened flora that are cryptic such as *Pterostylis saxicola*, which is known from the Ingleburn area.

The literature and data review indicated that no threatened species had previously been recorded in the study area. During the field surveys, one migratory species was recorded. *Ardea ibis* (Cattle Egret) is listed as a migratory species under the EPBC Act and was observed in the grassland areas in the south west of the area. Habitat for this species was present in the exotic grassland.

Vegetation within the study area consisted of a primarily grassy understorey with little leaf litter and extremely limited habitat for *Meridolum corneovirens* (Cumberland Plain Land Snail). Brief searches were conducted under the few trees where leaf litter was present but it is considered unlikely that this species would be present within the study area. This species has been recorded outside the study area and is associated with thick leaf litter primarily from *Eucalyptus tereticornis*.

There was only one hollow bearing tree found in the areas that were accessed. The hollow was in a *Eucalyptus tereticornis*. The hollow was occupied by a Rainbow Lorikeet. Given this, it is unlikely that this hollow would provide habitat for threatened bats. However the woodland areas provide foraging habitat for threatened microbat species. There are database records for the following threatened microbats in the locality: *Falsistrellus tasmaniensis* (Eastern False Pipistrelle), *Miniopterus schreibersii oceanensis* (Eastern Bentwing Bat), *Mormopterus norfolkensis* (Eastern Freetail Bat) and *Scoteanax rueppellii* (Greater Broad-nosed Bat).

SEPP 44 – Koala habitat

The Cumberland Plain Woodland present in both condition states contained individuals of *Eucalyptus tereticornis* and *Eucalyptus moluccana*. The presence of *Eucalyptus tereticornis* in the better quality Cumberland Plain Woodland was limited to regenerating trees which were about 1-2 m high. Cover of this species elsewhere was limited, however, greater than 15% of the number of trees present are *Eucalyptus tereticornis*. This species is listed on Schedule 2 of SEPP44 as a koala feed tree species. Therefore the areas containing Cumberland Plain Woodland could be considered as <u>potential koala habitat</u>.

In terms of core koala habitat, the definition in SEPP44 is as follows:

core koala habitat means an area of land with a resident population of koalas, evidenced by attributes such as breeding females (that is, females with young) and recent sightings of and historical records of a population.

There have been no recent sightings and no historic records of a population within the study area. The closest records are within dense vegetation south of the Georges River Nature Reserve and in the Holsworthy Military Area. A recorded road kill from 2004 was about 500 m south and on the south-eastern side of Bensley Road in an area of higher vegetation cover than is present in the study area.

The majority of other recent sightings have been made from areas of dense vegetation on the eastern side of Bensley Road and none near the study area. The closest known population of the species is at Wedderburn, some 12 km south of the study area. Therefore on that basis, the site would <u>not meet the definition of core koala</u> <u>habitat</u>. The land does not meet the definition of core koala habitat but is potential koala habitat and according to clause 8(3)(a) of the SEPP, Council is not prevented from granting development consent on this land.

Targeted Koala survey

As per request of Campbelltown City Council in response to the consideration of vegetation within the study area to be <u>potential Koala habitat</u>, targeted Koala surveys were performed to ascertain the presence of any individuals.

Survey took place on 14 and 15 June 2016 by two ELA ecologists Dr Meredith Henderson and Alex Gorey and involved two components: diurnal and nocturnal surveys. The methodology is consistent with the *Draft Threatened Species Survey Guidelines* (DEC 2004). Due to access restrictions in the study area, survey effort

was limited to the good quality Cumberland Plain Woodland on the corner of Bensley Road and Oxford Road and any trees accessible from along Bensley Road.

Weather conditions were ideal for fauna call playback and spotlighting. Conditions were clear with no rain or severe winds on both survey days (Table 1).

| Survey Date | Minimum Temperature (°C) | Maximum Temperature (°C) |
|--------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 14 June 2016 | 4 | 20.2 |
| 15 June 2016 | 5.5 | 19 |

Table 1: Weather conditions during targeted Koala surveys on 14 and 15 June 2016

Diurnal survey was performed on 14 June 2016 for a total of 4 person hours to search potential feed trees for signs of use, including scratches and scats. No signs of use were found on any potential feed trees within the survey area.

Nocturnal surveys were performed after dusk on 14 and 15 June 2016 for a total of 6 person hours in total. Limited access to the study area allowed for only one call play back site in the good quality Cumberland Plain Woodland on the corner of Bensley Road and Oxford Road. An initial 10 minute listening period followed by a 10 minute spotlight search was performed prior to call play back to ascertain the presence of any individuals. The Koala call was then broadcast intermittently over a five minute period, followed by 10 minutes of listening. This process was repeated three times. Following the call playback session all trees within the survey area were spotlighted for any Koala individuals. Potential feed trees that were accessible from Bensley Road were also spotlighted. No return calls or individuals were found within the survey area on 14 or 15 June 2016.

Potential Impacts

Ecological constraints have been prepared to guide the development footprint. Results of the constraints, and recommendations on how to address the constraints are tabulated in **Table 3** and illustrated in **Figure 1** below.

The areas of highest ecological value are associated with the CPW located on the corner of Bensley and Oxford Roads. The remaining vegetation on the site is of lesser value. The draft masterplan for the site incorporates the retention of areas of vegetation with a local park.

This approach would realise the retention of 92% of high constraint vegetation and 8% of moderate constraint vegetation (Table 2, Figure 3 and Figure 4).

The area of vegetation proposed for retention is likely to be considered to be too small to be a viable biobanking site. Long term retention would therefore need to be achieved via a suitable zoning for the site. In the event that Biodiversity Certification was sought for the site, an E2 zoning would provide some 'credit', albeit at a discounted rate, for retention of this vegetation. The land could be in public or private ownership.

If however Biodiversity Certification is not sought, and it is proposed for this land to be dedicated to council, an RE zone would enable some passive recreation to be undertaken while retaining the biodiversity values.

This assessment has identified that if the draft masterplan was developed, that only minor impacts would occur to matters protected under the TSC or EPBC Acts. It is likely that due to the minor nature of these impacts that the proposal would not be considered to cause a 'significant impact'.

| Ecological Constraint | Cleared | Retained | Grand Total |
|-----------------------|---------|----------|-------------|
| High | 0.1 | 1.1 | 1.2 |
| Moderate | 2.4 | 0.2 | 2.6 |
| Low | 9.0 | 0.3 | 9.3 |
| No Access | 4.9 | 0.1 | 5.0 |
| Grand Total | 16.3 | 1.6 | 18.0 |

Table 2: Clearing and retention under draft masterplan

Waterfront Land Constraints Assessment

Under the *Water Management Act 2000* (WM Act) all land within 40 m of a defined watercourse is classed as 'waterfront land'. Waterways include all drainage lines mapped on the 1:25,000 scale topographic map for this region (Campbelltown 9029-1N). Proposed works on waterfront land may trigger Controlled Activity Approvals (CAA) with DPI Water (formally NSW Office of Water) and require vegetated riparian corridors specified for the waterway category (i.e. per Strahler stream order, e.g. 1st 2nd 3rd etc). There is one 1st order waterway within the site that is shown on the topographic map. In accordance with DPI Water's *Riparian Guidelines*, a 1st order stream usually requires a 10 m vegetated riparian zone on each side measured from the top of bank. Also, proposals to excavate land with 40 m of the waterway would trigger a CAA. This is unless the waterway does not meet the definition of a 'river' under the WM Act and support is granted by DPI Water.

Our field inspection of the 1st order stream within the site found that it does not meet the definition of a 'river' under the WM Act because it has no defined channel, bed, bank or have evidence of geomorphic processes. Therefore, you are in a position to request DPI Water to remove the 'waterfront land' requirements for this waterway. They will require photographic evidence along the waterway (which we have). Until this process is accomplished, we have identified the waterway as a 'moderate' constraint (**Figure 2**), but this constraint would be removed upon provisional support from DPI Water to remove the 'waterfront land' requirement.

Yours sincerely

SIGNATURE HAS BEEN REMOVED Meredith Henderson Senior Ecologist

| Constraint | Value | | Justification | Recommendation |
|------------|---------------------------------|-----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | • | A 1.15 ha stand of woodland abuts the north-eastern corner of the study area and meets the criteria for listing as a Condition D patch of CPW under the EPBC Act. The patch is larger than 0.5 ha, has ≥ 50% native perennials in the understorey and at least one tree with a hollow. | |
| High | CPW (EPBC Act – Condition D) | • • | this stand as meets the definition as CPW under the TSC Act this patch has been previously grazed and mown however this has ceased in the last two to four years. Many of the plants in the mid- and over-storey are present. | Impacts to this vegetation are likely to require a Referral to the Commonwealth This area represents a critically endangered ecological community and impacts should be |
| | | • | the canopy of this vegetation community is structured in two layers but has been combined to estimate Project Foliage Cover (PFC) as both strata contribute the upper layers of the vegetation and will do so in the future | avoided |
| | | • | potential foraging habitat for Little Eagle and potential habitat for threatened microbats and Koala | |
| | | • | vegetation community classified as an endangered or critically endangered ecological community under the TSC Act | |
| | | • | while not pristine, this vegetation supports species characteristic of these communities especially in the overstorey | retain connectivity between stands of vegetation wherever possible |
| Moderate | CPW | • | in a patch that is grazed, there are characteristic plant species in all structural layers | avoid removal of hollow-bearing trees if any removed, offsets should be provided |
| | | • | potential foraging habitat for threatened bird species (Little Eagle) and potential habitat for threatened microbats and potential Koala habitat | minimise impacts during development design and construction phase including establishing a buffer area adjacent to the vegetation |
| | | • | not all areas of this vegetation condition could be accessed and searches for hollows would need to be done in inaccessible areas | |

ECO LOGICAL AUSTRALIA PTY LTD

| Constraint | Value | | Justification | | Recommendation |
|------------|----------------------|------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Low | Hollow-bearing trees | hollo depe confi | hollow-bearing trees are a limiting habitat attribute for hollow- dependant fauna in the area confined to woodland area | • • | Avoid clearing hollow-bearing trees If clearing is unavoidable, consider supplementing area with nest boxes and conduct pre-clearance |
| | 1 | provi | provide potential roosting and nesting habitat for birds and bats | 0 | surveys |
| | | | - | • | development should be confined to these areas wherever possible |
| Low | Exotic vegetation | area | mixture of native and exotic grasses with tracks throughout or areas dominated by exotic species in all strata | • | suitable for development and passive recreational activities |
| | | suita birds | suitable toraging nabitat for Little Eagle, microbats and migratory birds such as the Cattle Egret | • | implement management techniques to prevent the dispersal of weed species into adjacent woodland |
| | | | | 10 | areas particularly during construction |

ECO LOGICAL AUSTRALIA PTY LTD







Figure 2: Preliminary waterfront land constraint under the WM Act for riparian corridors.



Figure 3 : Areas for retention and clearance under draft Masterplan





Figure 4: Draft Masterplan